

de Wet. J.P.

Belt 1a

State vs. N. H.C.

Fishes

6/11/50
J.P.

(over to belt 2a)

(turn to next page)

Account argued that
May 3 deal with that
fact argued that a
conspiracy... shall stay
... no difficulty in setting
out case...
Page 114 - 115...
(Passes record to Court)
Court not allow to be heard
in...
For Church 91...
156 also... (reads from
document) then to...
(29) Why not more this
indictment to be granted

de Wet: K 1

3tael vs. N. H. C.
Futal a.o. / Fisher a.o.

Belt 1A

for

81/142289.
(Z. 15.)

25/11/63

- (5) Futal brand in
indictment ---
Annex. A ---
" B. ---
" C ---
Mr Fisher ---
I appeal for.
Harrison ---
(7) Mr Fisher the
1st indictment was
dealt with --- revised
form received ---
request for further
particulars --- 16/11/63 ---
(9) original indictment
objected on large
number of grounds
--- have been removed
--- want of particu-
larity --- main argu-
ment --- still stands

(10 1/2) Refs to Annex. A ---
Par. A1 - sets out
what unknown
A2 - facts state
alleg ---
Important allegation
--- Accused 1 & 2
bound and ---

- (14) Demonstrate how in-
dictment carries forward
--- Par. B. 1 --- allegations
participated in conspiracy
--- B2 ---
Par. 2 on Page 4 ---
snowballing effect ---
(15 1/4) Par. 4. complicity of asso-
ciations --- A-G based
on --- Few of questions
& answers.
Page 2 on new schedule
--- reads from it ---
added to it ---
equipment ---
(18 1/2) D (question) ---
only additional informa-
tion ---
19 1/4 E --- general assistance ---
what accused done ---
(20 1/4) I don't quite follow
--- limit the state.
May I read answer ---
(Court explains)
With respect my lord ---
first indictment ---
G. & question Page 4.
--- Page 2 Annex. A.
Accused 3. (reads from
it).
23 One aspect --- prosecution
unable to ---
(Court again)
But where the state
says ---