

de Wet JF.

Bult 2a

State vs NHC.

Fish

1st case quoted

Rest vs Adams.

1954 (1) S.A.

EP
20/11/63

(over to Bult
3a)

de Wet: R.1

But 2a
staat vs. N. H. C.
Yulius a.o. / Fisher a.o.

81-142289.
(Z. 15.)

25/11/63

Fisher		4 counts ---
(5) could be indicated to us ---		T. conspiracy --- Page 1 --- (reads again).
(Reads again)		Annexure B.
Mlandella gave instructions ---		count 2 Page 3 --- (reads)
Page 116 ---		" 3. 1 July - 11 July ---
(Reads again)	(19 1/4)	(reads)
(7) count.		Count 4 different matters --- received money (reads)
Page 118 --- line 14	(20)	I should point out --- no conspirators ---
8 3/4 (Reads again)		all persons B 8 & B 9
no information what state case is ---		Page 6 further particulars
Next passage --- collected money ---		Annex. A. 122 so called agents --- 47 recruits ---
(10 1/4) An indictment not ring bells ---		all part of conspiracy.
count. ---	(22)	Turn to heads of argument. (handed in)
Fisher. If that was a simple matter ---		Par. 1 sets out ---
Page 120 --- (reads again)		(reads from argument) ^{has Quibell}
Page 123 ---		Page 65H Par. B-D
Page 124 --- line 6		reads from case ---
"I am prepared ---		Page 141 of record
summary might lead to contention --- Page 139	(27)	"It has been laid down ---) Judgment
--- 4th Par. --- I under-		2nd Propositions ---
take my lord ---		way they participated ---
(16) Look what information given		unable to prepare the case --- when he stole ---
(16 1/4) (Written argument handed in)		Read vs Andrews dealt with this
		Page 578 --- indictment there --- 581 --- half way down --- handwritten
		"We do not find ---