De Wet, J.P. Belt 15 (15) 18

11.11.63 30/10/63

State vs. National High
Command.

Quashing - indictment

(cont)

(~~As State's reply starts~~
on 18)

Over to Belt 16

Court: The Court still is...
 28:
 detail available...
 Myler: State cannot give details...
 Court: Well, at any rate give...
 defence...
 Myler: We are parties to agree...
 mark...

Quashing - Indictment - (cont.)

30/10/63

SI. 142289.
(Z. 15.)

State vs. National High Command & ass.

Dr. Gulas (SA)
& ass.

Fischer (SA)
& ass.

Fischer: We say state can't have

4. it this way ...

↓ Next we proclaim - body indictment ... Counts 1 & 2

Par. 36 ... (reads)

8. Particular importance ... (reads)

Const: In charge alternative ...

9. not objection ...

Fischer: But of course ... specified ...

10. Names - Acc. No. 1 -

↓ charged ...

Const: Unless - associations ...

Fischer: Cannot names - charging

Sec 381 ... (7) ... of code ...

13. How charge - framed ...

15. Indictment does not

inform each of acc ...

Essence of indictment ...

effect ... east met with ...

16. Failed ... quashed.

State withdraws charge

against No. 13 - -

Hepple will appear

witness for state

Const: Acc. No. 13 - discharged

17.

Gulas: - For State

18. Submission of

↓ State ... application not genuine ...

State could have ignored & not furnished part. at all ...

20. Test - to hand in now ...

↓ opening address for State

Doc. handed in -

Mr. Fischer objects.

Const: I can see no reason

↓ Gulas: This is a doc. based

↓ on documents to be

submitted to Const ...

Defence do not want

further particulars ...

23. Two authorities ...

↓ Rex vs Mail Waryana

& ass ... 1954 - Vol IV

S.A.W.R. ... T.P.D. (reads)

24. Const: Well, what point? ...

Gulas: (reads on)

I illustrate this ... The

State asked ... particulars.

Of. Nat. Congress ...

Fischer objects ... however

upholds.

Const: I must uphold ...

Argument.