

De Wel, J.P. Bell 18 K.
30/10/63

~~State vs. National High
Command.
Judgment.
Quashed~~

Fischer (SA.)
4 etc.

Judgment

Const: The ~~acc.~~ - - innocent

257: untill guilty...

✓ Similar answers -- refer
rel - details

Information should be
given

Indictment cannot stand ---

Not function Govt to
draw indictment-----

27: Regiment -----

↓. It is possible -- appl
to quash ---

28: Acc. able to prepare
for trial - -

Large number

There is also --- of
acc. as one acc. --

The question - Rex vs
Answers -

Adjustment allowed -

Appl. for amendment
(Reado).

Overs to Belt 19

Quashing - (cont)

30/10/63

81 142289
(Z. 15.)State vs. National High Command & co.Quashing Yutar (S.A.)
4 o/s.Fischer (SA)
4 o/s.Court: The acc. entitled
to object...Yutar: I shall summarise...
- Asks not to quash
indictment...Yutar: Prepared to
19 leave to your lord-
ship...Court:20 The acc. in this
matter... 13...

Fischer: With great respect...

4. him not asking for
summary...

↓ Court 1 - 4 objections...

If those objections valid...

Acc. ... at large ... a
mass of facts...Reason choice ... 1st July...

Required ... a statement...

Pat. 2 - first count...

12: A summary may be
useful...Court: what happened in
Treason Trial...Lawen: As far as my
14. client concerned...

4. Andrew's case...

We were accused...

16. Steiner case ... (reads)

Mohrangana's Case...

Court: Not to enter into
cases...

Lawen: Rex vs. K...

Sabotage - Sect. 21...

Sec. 11(a), 14 12-

Act 44 - 1950...

Acc. acting in concert...
conspiring ... committed21: certain acts ... 12 & 3
of Indict...Offered to supply parti-
culars...22: It has been laid
down - Rex vs.

↓ Andrews ... 1948 III

S.A. L.R. ... p. 11...

Treason Trial...

Rex vs. Bernstein...

same view...

Later judgment...

R. v. Adams 1959 - Vol 1

S.A.L.R. p. 646...

In present case
allegation - acc. con-
spired...

25: Full details...

Oves

