

De Wet, J.P. Bell-16. 18.
30/10/63.

18
State vs. National High
Command

Dr. Guter's reply -
quashing -

Quashing - Indictment (cont.) 30/10/63.State vs. National High Command & ass.Guter (SA).
f. ass.Fischer (SA).
f. ass.

Guter: whole police docket to
 4. acc. ?
 4. Rex vs. X
 1961 II - p. 381 - (reads)
 Rex vs. Adams -
 Treason Case -

Court adjourns till
 2 p.m.

Guter: My lord, as I
 10. indicated - - -
 ↓ what is indictment.
 Rex vs. Shapiro.
 1904 - - - page 59.
 Rex vs. Alexander
 1936 Appell. Div. 457.
 Object of Indictment?
 540 meetings - - -
 13. Indictment drawn - - - doc.
 ↓ Sec. 315 - - - Essentials
 of charge - - - (Reads).
 Schedule - Annex A
 199- acts Sabotage - - -
 Div. 316 - - - Subs. 2.
 Rex vs. Hime (?).
 Vol. I - p. 607 - - -
 (Reads - in detail).
 Again say - not posi-
 tion here - - -

19: State chosen 250 documents...

Guter: 20. Par. (c) - p. 609 - - -
 ↓ (Reads) - - -
 22. Let me transpose the
 ↓ pearls of wisdom - - -
 Broad outline - - -
 Grant postponement -
 taken by surprise - - -
 25. Let me analyse passage -
 I have stated - - - I
 ↓ shall not put in as
 exhibit - - -
 If state should produce
 any doc - - - by surprise
 ↓ Support request for ad-
 journment - - -
 Serious matter - - -
 Let Def. fight case
 on merits - - -
 Page 611 - - (Reads again).
 Makgille's Case - - -
 30. Esop's case - - -

Over to Belt
17